

Instructional	Learning Goals	Suggested Learning Support		
Timeframe:				
Unit 5 Evaluating Argument (nonfiction)/Reading Strategies 24 Days	 Overarching learning goals: I can evaluate text features and structures of informational texts and infer why the author chose that format I can cite evidence to support a claim and evaluate author's claims and counterclaims I can evaluate an author's purpose and potential bias Overarching language goals: I can read closely for understanding and purpose with fluency and comprehension. I can speak and listen with peers in small groups to discuss a prompt. 	 "Position on Dodgeball in Physical Education" by the National Association for Sport and Physical Education "The Weak Shall Inherit the Gym" by Rick Reilly Resources outside of the textbook: "It Gets Better" by Barack Obama (speech) "Nation of Bullies" by Jessica Bennett (Newsweek) 		
PASS Content Standards				



	- 3.3.c Sentence Stru and nonrestrictive (r	acture- Differentiate between dependent, ind nonessential) clauses	ependent restrictive (essential)	
	 In Units 4 and 5: - 2.3 Compose persuasive/argumentative compositions that: a. include a well-defined thesis that makes a clear and knowledgeable appeal b. present detailed evidence, examples, and reasoning to support effective arguments and emotional appeal c. provide details, reasons, and examples, arranging them effectively by predicting, identifying, and addressing reader concerns and counter-arguments 			
PASS Plus (when mastering the above PASS standards, these PASS Plus standards are also mastered)	RI 8.1 Cite the textual evidence that most strongly supports an analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text RI 8.2 Determine a central idea of a text and analyze its development over the course of the text, including its relationship to supporting ideas; provide an objective summary of the text. RI 8.5 Analyze in detail the structure of a specific paragraph in a text, including the role of particular sentences in developing and refining a key concept. RI 8.8 Delineate and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text, assessing whether the reasoning is sound and the evidence is relevant and sufficient; recognize when irrelevant evidence is introduced RI 8.9 Analyze a case in which two or more texts provide conflicting information on the same topic and identify where the texts disagree on matters of fact or interpretation.			
Possible Learnin		Possible Assessments	Essential Questions	
 Claim-Support Gra Fishbowl discussion Main Idea gallery w Discussion Groups Carousel Academic Vocabula Argument, persuade, suppor reasoning, emotional appear counterargument, fact, opin Main Idea, summary, parap purpose 	phic Organizers ns valk ary/Concepts ort, claim, evidence, als, ethical appeals, nion, conclusion,	 exit tickets, expository composition on evaluating argument Proficiency Scales* 0 - Even with help, no success 0.5 - With help, partial success at score 2 content but not at score 3 content 1 - With help, partial success at score 2 content and score 3 content 1.5 - Partial success at score 2 content, and major omissions regarding score 3 content 2 - Content Specific (see below) 2.5 - No major omissions regarding score 2 content, and partial success at score 3 content 3 - Content Specific (see below) 3.5 - In addition to score 3 performance, partial success at 4 content. 4 - In addition to score 3 performance, the student demonstrates in-depth inferences and application that go beyond what was taught. Argument and Reasoning 2 - (1) The student will recognize or recall specific vocabulary, such as argument, assess, claim, evaluate, 	What does it take to be convincing? Who is telling the truth?	



perform basic processes, such as: (2) delineate the argument and specific claims in a grade-appropriate text; (3) recognize examples of irrelevant evidence in isolation. 3 - The student will: (1) evaluate the argument and specific claims in a grade- appropriate text, assessing whether the reasoning is sound and the evidence is relevant and sufficient; (2) identify irrelevant evidence in a grade- appropriate text.	
---	--

* All proficiency scales are derived from Marzano Research Laboratory's <u>Proficiency Scale Bank</u> and chosen by the OKCPS curriculum committee